

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AUSTIN DIVISION

Thomas Woodward, §  
*Plaintiff,* §  
v. § Case No. 1:24-CV-01088-ADA  
Christopher Anderson, Laura §  
Williams, Baily Gray, Edna §  
Staniszewski, City of Austin, Texas, §  
*Defendants.* §

**ORDER**

Before the Court is the report and recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Dustin Howell. Dkt. 8. Judge Howell issued the report and recommendation on January 17, 2025, *id.* at 10, and Plaintiff filed objections to the report and recommendation on January 31, 2025, Dkt. 10.

A party may file specific, written objections to a magistrate judge's proposed findings and recommendations within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation, thereby securing *de novo* review by the district court. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

Because Plaintiff timely objected to the report and recommendation, Dkt. 10, the Court has reviewed the report and recommendation *de novo*. Having done so, the Court finds that Plaintiffs' objections should be overruled and that the report and recommendation should be adopted.

It is therefore **ORDERED** that the report and recommendation of Judge Howell (Dkt. 8) is **ADOPTED**. It is further **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's cause of action is **DISMISSED** as frivolous under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) and that Plaintiff's

remaining pending motions are **DISMISSED AS MOOT** with prejudice pursuant to  
28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

SIGNED on February 20, 2025.

  
ALAN D ALBRIGHT  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE